

ARROWTOOTH FLOUNDER

by
Thomas K. Wilderbuer and Terrance M. Sample

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The following changes have been made to this assessment relative to the November 2001 SAFE.

Changes to the input data

- 1) 2001 survey size composition
- 2) 2001 survey biomass point-estimate and standard error.
- 3) Estimate of catch and discards through 15, September 2001.
- 4) Estimate of retained and discarded portion of the 2000 and 2001 catch.

Assessment results

- 1) The projected age 1+ total biomass for 2002 is 671,200 t.
- 2) The projected female spawning biomass for 2002 is 423,100 t.
- 3) The recommended 2002 ABC is 113,300 t based on an $F_{0.40}$ (0.222) harvest level.
- 4) The 2001 overfishing level is 137,000 t based on a $F_{0.35}$ (0.275) harvest level.

	2001 Assessment recommendation for 2002 harvest	2000 Assessment recommendation for 2001 harvest
Total biomass	671,200 t	700,850 t
ABC	113,300 t	117,000 t
Overfishing	137,000 t	141,500 t
F_{ABC}	$F_{0.40} = 0.222$	$F_{0.40} = 0.228$
$F_{overfishing}$	$F_{0.35} = 0.275$	$F_{0.35} = 0.282$

INTRODUCTION

The arrowtooth flounder (*Atheresthes stomias*) is a relatively large flatfish which occupies continental shelf waters almost exclusively until age 4, but at older ages occupies both shelf and slope waters. Two species of *Atheresthes* occur in the Bering Sea. Arrowtooth flounder and Kamchatka flounder (*A. evermanni*) are very similar in appearance and are not usually distinguished in the commercial catches. In past years, these species were not consistently separated in trawl survey catches and are combined in this assessment to maintain the comparability of the trawl survey time series. Arrowtooth flounder ranges into the Aleutian Islands region where their abundance is lower than in the eastern Bering Sea. The resource in the EBS and the Aleutians are managed as a single stock although the stock structure has not been studied.

Arrowtooth flounder was managed with Greenland turbot as a species complex until 1985 because of similarities in their life history characteristics, distribution and exploitation. Greenland turbot were the target species of the fisheries whereas arrowtooth flounder were caught as bycatch. Because the stock condition of the two species have differed markedly in recent years, management since 1986 has been by individual species.

Arrowtooth flounder begin to recruit to the continental slope at about age 4. Based on age data from the 1982 U.S.-Japan cooperative survey, recruitment to the slope gradually increases at older ages and reaches a maximum at age 9. However, greater than 50% of age groups 9 and older continue to occupy continental shelf waters. The low proportion of the overall biomass on the slope during the 1988 and 1991 surveys, relative to that of earlier surveys, indicates that the proportion of the population occupying slope waters may vary considerably from year to year depending on the age structure of the population.

CATCH HISTORY

Catch records of arrowtooth flounder and Greenland turbot were combined during the 1960s. The fisheries for Greenland turbot intensified during the 1970s and the bycatch of arrowtooth flounder is assumed to have also increased. In 1974-76, total catches of arrowtooth flounder reached peak levels ranging from 19,000 to 25,000 t (Table 5.1). Catches decreased after implementation of the MFCMA and the resource has remained lightly exploited with catches averaging 13,500 t from 1977-2000. This decline resulted from catch restrictions placed on the fishery for Greenland turbot and phasing out of the foreign fishery in the U.S. EEZ. Total catch reported through 15 September, 2001 is 11,230 t (well below the ABC of 117,000 t). NMFS Regional Office reports indicate that bottom trawling accounted for 88% of the 2000 catch.

Although research has been conducted on their commercial utilization (Greene and Babbitt 1990, Wasson et al. 1992, Porter et al. 1993, Reppond et al. 1993, Cullenberg 1995) and some targetting occurs, arrowtooth flounder currently have a low perceived commercial value as they are captured primarily in pursuit of other high value species and most are discarded. The catch information in Table 5.1 reports the annual total catch tonnage for the foreign, JV, and DAP fisheries. The proportion of retained and discarded arrowtooth flounder in Bering Sea fisheries can be estimated from observer sampling applied to the 'blend' estimate of reported and observed retained catch as follows:

Year*	Retained	Discarded	Total	Retained
1985	17 t	72 t	89 t	19
1986	65 t	277 t	342 t	19
1987	75 t	320 t	395 t	19
1988	3,309 t	14,107 t	17,416 t	19
1989	958 t	4,084 t	5,042 t	19
1990	2,356 t	10,042 t	12,398 t	19
1991	3,211 t	18,841 t	22,052 t	15
1992	675 t	9,707 t	10,382 t	7
1993	403 t	6,775 t	7,178 t	6
1994	626 t	13,641 t	14,267 t	4
1995	509 t	8,772 t	9,281 t	5
1996	1,372 t	13,280 t	14,652 t	9
1997	1,029 t	9,024 t	10,054 t	10
1998	2,896 t	12,345 t	15,241 t	19
1999	2,538 t	8,035 t	10,573 t	24
2000	5,124 t	7,805 t	12,929 t	60
2001	4,271 t	6,959 t	11,230 t	62

*1990 % retained rate applied to the 1985-89 reported retained DAP catch.

Substantial amounts of arrowtooth flounder are discarded overboard in the BSAI trawl and longline target fisheries. However, in the past two years there has been an increase in retention.. Largest discard amounts occurred in the Pacific cod, rock sole, 'other flatfish' and Greenland turbot fisheries.

DATA

The data used in this assessment include estimates of total catch, trawl survey biomass estimates and standard error from shelf and slope surveys, sex-specific trawl survey size composition and available fishery length-frequencies from observer sampling.

Fishery Catch and Catch-at-Age

Fishery catch data are available from 1970 - September 15, 2001 and fishery length-frequency data from 1978-91.

Survey CPUE

The relative abundance of arrowtooth flounder increased substantially on the continental shelf from 1982 to 1990 as the CPUE from AFSC surveys on the shelf increased steadily from 1.6 to 9.9 kg/ha (Fig. 5.1). The overall shelf catch rate decreased slightly to 7.1 kg/ha during 1991 but increased to 9.5 kg/ha during the 1992 bottom trawl survey. The CPUE continued to increase through 1996 to 12.0 kg/ha. These increases in CPUE were also observed on the slope from 1981 to 1986 as CPUE from the Japanese land-based fishery increased from 1.5 to 21.0 t/hr (Bakkala and Wilderbuer 1990). The CPUE declined from 10.3 kg/ha in 1997 to 7.4 kg/ha in 2000. The CPUE was higher in 2001 at an estimate of 8.8 kg/ha.

Absolute Abundance from Trawl Surveys

Biomass estimates (t) for arrowtooth flounder from U.S. and U.S.-Japanese cooperative surveys in the eastern Bering Sea and Aleutian Islands region are as follows:

Year	Eastern Bering Sea			Aleutian Islands
	Shelf	Slope	Shelf and Slope combined	
1975	28,000	--	--	--
1979	35,000	36,700	71,700	--
1980	47,800	--	--	40,400
1981	49,500	34,900	84,400	--
1982	67,400	24,700	92,100	--
1983	149,300	--	--	45,100
1984	182,900	--	--	--
1985	159,900	74,400	234,300	--
1986	232,100	--	--	125,700
1987	290,600	--	--	--
1988	306,500	30,600*	337,100	--
1989	410,700	--	--	--
1990	459,200	--	--	--
1991	329,200	28,000*	357,200	37,294
1992	414,000	--	--	--
1993	543,600	--	--	--
1994	570,600	--	--	107,019
1995	480,800	--	--	--
1996	556,400	--	--	--
1997	478,600	--	--	111,557
1998	344,900	--	--	--
1999	243,800	--	--	--
2000	340,400	--	--	93,515
2001	408,800	---	--	--

*The 1988 and 1991 slope estimates were from the depth ranges of 200-800 m while earlier slope estimates were from 200-1,000 m.

Although the standard sampling trawl changed in 1982 to a more efficient trawl which may have caused an overestimate of the biomass increase in the pre-1982 part of the time-series, biomass estimates from AFSC surveys on the continental shelf have shown a consistent increasing trend since 1975. Since 1982, biomass point estimates indicate that arrowtooth abundance has increased eight-fold to a high of 570,600 t in 1994. The population biomass remained at a high level from 1992-97. Results from the 1997 - 2000 bottom trawl surveys indicate the Bering Sea shelf population biomass had declined to 340,000 t, 60% of the peak 1994 biomass point estimate. The 2001 survey estimate is higher at 408,800 t.

Arrowtooth flounder absolute abundance estimates are based on "area-swept" bottom trawl survey methods. These methods require several assumptions which can add to the uncertainty of the estimates. For example, it is assumed that the sampling plan covers the distribution of the species and that all fish in the path of the trawl are captured (no losses due to escape or gains due to herding). Due to sampling variability alone, the 95% confidence intervals for the 2001 point estimate are 338,800 - 478,900 t.

Trawl surveys on the continental slope estimate that arrowtooth flounder biomass increased significantly from 1982 to 1985. The biomass estimate in 1988 and 1991 were lower. However, sampling in 1988 and

1991 (200-800 m) was not as deep as in 1985 and earlier years (200-1,000 m) and may not have completely sampled the bathymetric distribution of arrowtooth flounder. Based on slope surveys conducted between 1979 and 1985 which sampled to a depth of 1,000 m, 67 to 100% of the arrowtooth flounder biomass on the slope were found at depths less than 800 m. These data suggest that less than 20% of the total EBS population occupied slope waters in 1988 and 1991, a period of high arrowtooth flounder abundance. Surveys conducted during periods of low and increasing arrowtooth abundance (1979-85) indicate that 27% to 51% of the population weight occupied slope waters.

The combined arrowtooth/Kamchatka flounder abundance estimated from the 2000 Aleutian Islands trawl survey is 93,500 t, a continuation of the stable trend observed in the Aleutian Islands since 1994.

Weight-at-age, Length-at-age and Maturity-at-age

Parameters of the von Bertalanffy growth curve for arrowtooth flounder from age data collected during the 1982 U.S.-Japan cooperative survey and the 1991 slope survey (Zimmermann and Goddard 1995) are as follows:

Sex	Sample size	Age range	L_{inf}	k	t_0
<u>1982 age sample</u>					
Male	528	2-14	45.9	0.23	-0.70
Female	706	2-14	73.8	0.14	-0.20
Sexes Combined	1,234	2-14	59.0	0.17	-0.50
<u>1991 age sample</u>					
Male	53	3-9	57.9	0.17	-2.17
Female	134	4-12	85.0	0.16	-0.81

Based on 282 observations during a AFSC survey in 1976, the length (mm)-weight (gm) relationship for arrowtooth flounder (sexes combined) is described by the equation:

$$W = 5.682 \times 10^{-6} * L^{**} 3.1028.$$

Maturity information from a histological examination of arrowtooth flounder in the Gulf of Alaska (Zimmerman 1997) indicate that male and female fish become 50% mature at 46.9 and 42.2 cm, respectively.

ANALYTIC APPROACH

Model Structure

The abundance, mortality, recruitment and selectivity of arrowtooth flounder were assessed with a split-sex, length-based version of the stock synthesis assessment model (Methot 1990). The model is a separable catch-age analysis that uses survey estimates of biomass and size composition estimates as auxiliary information. The model simulates the dynamics of the population and compares the expected values of the population characteristics to the those observed from surveys and fishery sampling programs. This is accomplished by the simultaneous estimation of the parameters in the model using the maximum likelihood

estimation procedure. The fit of the simulation values to the observed characteristics is optimized by maximizing the log(likelihood) function.

The suite of parameters estimated by the model are classified by three likelihood components:

Data Component	Distribution assumption
Trawl fishery size composition	Multinomial
Trawl survey population size composition	Multinomial
Trawl survey biomass estimates and S.E.	Log normal

The total log likelihood is the sum of the likelihoods for each data component (see Table 6-6). The model allows for the individual likelihood components to be weighted by an emphasis factor. The parameters estimated by the model are presented below:

Fishing mortality	Selectivity	Recruitment	Total
32	12	53	97

The recruitment parameters are comprised of 21 initial ages in 1970 and 32 age 1 recruitment estimates from 1971-2002. The initial age composition was estimated assuming the stock was in an equilibrium condition prior to 1970. Natural mortality and survey catchability were estimated independently of the model. The increase in the number of parameters estimated in this assessment compared to last year can be accounted for by the input of another year of fishery catch data and the entry of another year class into the observed population.

We assume that the shelf and slope surveys measure non-overlapping segments of the arrowtooth flounder stock. The model was configured with the Bering Sea shelf comprising 87% of the population, calculated from the average proportion of shelf/shelf+slope biomass from the trawl survey time-series. In this assessment we did not attempt to incorporate the Aleutian Islands biomass estimate. For Bering Sea shelf flatfish, the accepted belief is that the trawl survey is a good indicator of the flatfish abundance level. Thus, it is desirable to obtain a reasonable fit to this data component and the model was configured with an emphasis of 5.0 was placed on fitting the shelf survey biomass trend. This resulted in a better fit to the abundance trend without degrading the fit to the other primary data components.

The most reliable and consistent data for modeling the arrowtooth flounder population are the shelf survey biomass and size composition time-series. Consequently, results are most closely linked to fitting the general trend of increasing shelf survey biomass estimates during the 1980s to its peak level in the mid-1990s, and to fitting the male and female size compositions from the shelf survey (Fig. 5.2).

Parameters Estimated Independently

Natural mortality

The natural mortality of arrowtooth flounder is assumed to be 0.20. This estimate was used because it is similar to that of other species of flatfish with approximately the same age range as arrowtooth flounder and is the same estimate used by Okada et al. (1980).

Aging by both U.S. and Japanese scientists from samples collected in the EBS during U.S.-Japanese cooperative surveys has shown age 15 to be the maximum age of arrowtooth flounder which is an age range generally associated with species such as pollock where natural mortality is estimated at 0.3. Most likely arrowtooth flounder live longer than our present age collections suggest. Current aged samples may be collected from a population dominated by young fish in 1982 and 1991 on the Bering Sea shelf.

Catchability

A past assessment (Wilderbuer and Sample 1995) also analyzed the value of Q or catchability of the research trawl by examining fits of the models' various likelihood components over a range of fixed Q values. The results indicated that $Q = 2.0$ which suggests that more fish are caught in the survey trawl than are present in the "effective" fishing width of the trawl (ie. some herding may occur or the "effective" fishing width of the trawl may be the distance between the doors instead of between the wingtips of the survey trawl).

In the case of the fit to the slope survey abundance estimates, Q is less than 1.0 as the fit to this likelihood component degrades with increasing Q. This is consistent with the Q profiling presented in the Greenland turbot assessment (Ianelli et al., section 4) and our belief that the Noreastern trawl is a poor sampling tool on the Bering Sea slope (Bakkala and Wilderbuer 1990).

Parameters Estimated Conditionally

Year class strengths

The population simulation specifies the number-at-age in the beginning year of the simulation, the number of recruits in subsequent years, and the survival rate for each cohort as it moves through the population calculated from the population dynamics equations (see Table 6-6).

Selectivity and sex ratio

Survey results indicate that fish less than about 4 years old (< 30 cm) are found only on the Bering Sea shelf. Males from 30-50 cm and females 30-70 cm are found in shelf and slope waters, and males > 50 cm and females > 70 cm are found exclusively on the slope. Sex specific "domed-shaped" selectivity was freely estimated for the shelf survey; for the slope survey we assumed an asymptotic selectivity pattern.

At the present time there is no directed fishery for arrowtooth flounder in the eastern Bering Sea. Length measurements collected from the fishery represent opportunistic samples of arrowtooth flounder taken as bycatch. This results in sample size problems which make estimates of fishery selectivity unreliable (In the 2000 fishery, only 44 fish were measured from longline sampling). Also, we felt that a directed fishery would likely target a different segment of the stock. Accordingly, the shape of the selectivity curve was fixed asymptotic for older fish in the fishery since a directed fishery would presumably target on larger fish. This also allowed for a realistic calculation of exploitable biomass from the model estimate of total biomass.

Examination of the shelf and slope survey population estimates indicate that females are consistently estimated to be in higher abundance than males (Fig. 5.3). This difference was also evident in the Gulf of Alaska from triennial surveys conducted from 1984-96 (Turnock et al. 1998). This information was incorporated into a past assessment by adjusting the size composition data input into the model by the sex ratio proportion observed in shelf and slope trawl surveys and fishery data. This resulted in unsatisfactory results as the model gave low estimates of male selectivity which has the undesirable result of artificially increasing population estimates. This assessment assumes an equal population sex composition.

Possible reasons for the higher estimates of females in the survey observations may be: 1) there is a spatial separation of males and females where males are less available to the survey trawl, 2) there is a higher natural mortality for males than females, 3) there are some sampling problems, or 4) there is a genetic predisposition to produce more females than males.

Growth

The length-based synthesis model allows flexibility on the relationship between length and age. The model was configured to estimate the L_{inf} and K parameters by sex as was described in a past assessment (Wilderbuer and Sample 1995). These estimates of the growth parameters provided the best fit to the slope and shelf size compositions.

Fishing mortality

The fishing mortality rates (F) for each age and year are calculated to exactly match the catch weight by solving for F as follows:

$$\sum_a [N_{ay} \hat{W}_a \left(\frac{f_y S_a}{f_y S_a + M} \right) 1 - \exp^{-f_y S_a + M}] - \sum_a C_{ay} \hat{W}_a = 0$$

where $F_{ay} = f_y S_a$, N_{ay} = numbers of fish age a in year y, \hat{W}_a = average weight-at-age, M is the natural mortality rate, C_{ay} = catch weight of age a fish in year y, S_a is the fishery selectivity at age and f_y is the fishing effort in year y.

MODEL RESULTS

Fishing mortality and selectivity

The stock synthesis model estimates of the annual fishing mortality on fully selected ages and the estimated annual exploitation rates (catch/total biomass) are given in Table 5.2. The average exploitation rate has been at a low level, 4%, from 1977-2001 due to the undesirability of arrowtooth flounder as a commercial product. Age-specific selectivity estimated by the model (Table 5.3, Fig. 5.4) indicate that arrowtooth flounder are 50% selected by the fishery at about 8 and 7 years of age and are fully selected by ages 17 and 11, for males and females, respectively.

Abundance Trend

Model estimates indicate that arrowtooth flounder total biomass increased more than 5 fold from 1980 to its' most abundant level in 1995 at 909,000 t (Fig. 5.5, Table 5.4). The biomass has declined 21% since then to the 2001 estimate of 718,600 t due to the lower levels of recruitment observed during the 1990s. Female spawning biomass is also estimated at a high level, projected at nearly 423,400 t in 2002 (Table 5.4). Model estimates of population numbers by age, year, and sex are given in Table 5.5.

The model fit to the shelf survey (emphasis 5.0) tracks the abundance trend well through 1990. The model estimate of survey biomass is less than the observed values from 1993-97 (except 1995) and does not provide

a good fit to the lower estimates from the 1998 and 1999 shelf surveys. The fit for the past two years is above the 2000 estimate and below the 2001 estimate. The model indicates an increasing biomass trend on the slope which fits the slope survey estimates poorly (Fig. 5.5). The slope biomass represents a smaller fraction of the total stock and is not well estimated by the survey, particularly the 1991 point estimate which is considered to be an underestimate of the slope survey biomass due to the reduction in sampling depth relative to earlier surveys.

The model provided a good fit to the survey shelf size composition time-series since 1981 for males and females (1989-99), which are shown in the Appendix. Reasonable fits also resulted for slope survey size composition observations.

Recruitment Trends

Increases in abundance from 1983-95 were the result of 5 strong year-classes spawned in 1981, 1984, 1986, 1987 and 1988 (Fig. 5.6, Table 5.6). Since 1990, recruitment is estimated to be near average in 1989-92 and below average thereafter.

Otoliths for aging arrowtooth flounder have been routinely collected during AFSC surveys in the EBS, but they have been infrequently aged because of higher priority for aging other species. However, an examination of length-frequency data shows that modes formed by age groups 1 to 3 are reasonably well separated so that fish less than 25 cm can be used as a measure of recruitment for age 2 fish; some age 1 fish are also included, but they are poorly recruited to the survey trawls. Population estimates (in millions) for fish less than 25 cm are as follows:

<u>Year</u>	<u>1982</u>	<u>1983</u>	<u>1984</u>	<u>1985</u>	<u>1986</u>	<u>1987</u>	<u>1988</u>	<u>1989</u>	<u>1990</u>	<u>1991</u>
Population estimates	86.1	290.2	57.9	62.4	150.3	94.3	200.6	273.8	105.2	71.7
<u>Year</u>	<u>1992</u>	<u>1993</u>	<u>1994</u>	<u>1995</u>	<u>1996</u>	<u>1997</u>	<u>1998</u>	<u>1999</u>	<u>2000</u>	<u>2001</u>
Population estimates	79.4	96.8	126.6	75.1	55.6	108.8	93.6	92.1	126.3	164.3

Over this period, population estimates for this size group have averaged 120 million. Above average recruitment has occurred in 1983, 1986, 1988, 1989, 1994, 2000 and 2001. Since the estimates primarily represent age 2 fish, the year-classes producing the strong recruitment are 1981, 1984, 1986, 1987, 1992, 1998 and 1999 (Fig. 5.6). Estimates of age 2 recruitment from the synthesis model agree well with the trawl survey population estimates and also indicate average to above average recruitment for the four years following the large 1986 and 1987 year-classes. Age 2 recruitment since 1992 is estimated to be well-below the levels observed during the 1980s..

ACCEPTABLE BIOLOGICAL CATCH

Arrowtooth flounder have a wide-spread bathymetric distribution in the Bering Sea/Aleutian Islands region and are believed to be at a high level, primarily as a result of five strong year-classes spawned during the 1980s and minimal commercial harvest. They are estimated to have declined more than 20% since a peak population biomass in 1995. **The estimate of 2002 total biomass from stock synthesis is 671,200 t and the female spawning biomass is estimated at 423,400 t (not including the Aleutian Islands).**

The reference fishing mortality rate for arrowtooth flounder is determined by the amount of reliable population information available (Amendment 56 of the Fishery Management Plan for the groundfish fishery of the Bering Sea/Aleutian Islands). Equilibrium female spawning biomass is calculated by applying the female spawning biomass per recruit resulting from a constant $F_{0.40}$ harvest to an estimate of average equilibrium recruitment. For this assessment, the Alaska Fisheries Science Center policy (default recommendation) is to use only year classes spawned in 1977 or later to calculate the average equilibrium recruitment (since there is no compelling reason to do otherwise). Using the time-series of age 1 recruitment from 1978-2000 from the stock assessment model results in an estimate of $B_{0.40} = 180,100$ t. The stock synthesis model estimates the 2002 level of female spawning biomass at 423,400 t (B). Since reliable estimates of B, $B_{0.40}$, $F_{0.40}$, and $F_{0.30}$ exist and $B > B_{0.40}$ ($423,400 > 180,100$, fig. 5.7), arrowtooth flounder reference fishing mortality is defined in tier 3a. For the 2002 harvest: $F_{ABC} \leq F_{0.40} = 0.222$ and $F_{\text{overfishing}} = F_{0.35} = 0.275$ (full selection F values).

Acceptable biological catch is estimated for 2002 by applying the $F_{0.40}$ fishing mortality rate and age-specific fishery selectivities to the projected 2002 estimate of age-specific total biomass as follows:

$$ABC = \sum_{a=a_r}^{a_{\max}} \bar{w}_a n_a \left(\frac{F S_a}{M + F S_a} \right) \left(1 - e^{-M - F S_a} \right)$$

where S_a is the selectivity at age, M is natural mortality, W_a is the mean weight at age, and n_a is the beginning of the year numbers at age. **This results in a 2002 ABC of 113,300 t.**

The potential yield of arrowtooth flounder for 2001 at various levels of fishing mortality (full selection) are as follows:

<u>F level</u>	<u>Exploitation rate</u>	<u>Potential yield</u>
$F_{0.35}$	0.275	137,000 t
$F_{0.40}$	0.222	113,300 t

Please note that these values are estimated assuming that the "area-swept" survey estimates of biomass are unbiased (ie. "Q" = 1.0). Past results (Wilderbuer and Sample 1995) suggest that "Q" > 1.0 which would result in lower biomass and ABC estimates (for the Bering Sea shelf and slope, recall that the Aleutian Islands portion of the stock was not considered here).

PROJECTED BIOMASS

This year, a standard set of projections is required for each stock managed under Tiers 1, 2, or 3 of Amendment 56. This set of projections encompasses seven harvest scenarios designed to satisfy the requirements of Amendment 56, the National Environmental Policy Act, and the Magnuson-Stevens Fishery Conservation and Management Act (MSFCMA).

For each scenario, the projections begin with the vector of 2001 numbers at age estimated in the assessment. This vector is then projected forward to the beginning of 2002 using the schedules of natural mortality and selectivity described in the assessment and the best available estimate of total (year-end) catch for 2001 (11,230 t). In each subsequent year, the fishing mortality rate is prescribed on the basis of the spawning biomass in that year and the respective harvest scenario. In each year, recruitment is drawn from an inverse Gaussian distribution whose parameters consist of maximum likelihood estimates determined from recruitments estimated in the assessment. Spawning biomass is computed in each year based on the time of peak spawning and the maturity and weight schedules described in the assessment. Total catch is assumed to equal the catch associated with the respective harvest scenario in all years. This projection scheme is run 1000 times to obtain distributions of possible future stock sizes, fishing mortality rates, and catches.

Five of the seven standard scenarios will be used in an Environmental Assessment prepared in conjunction with the final SAFE. These five scenarios, which are designed to provide a range of harvest alternatives that are likely to bracket the final TAC for 2002, are as follow (" $max F_{ABC}$ " refers to the maximum permissible value of F_{ABC} under Amendment 56):

Scenario 1: In all future years, F is set equal to $max F_{ABC}$. (Rationale: Historically, TAC has been constrained by ABC, so this scenario provides a likely upper limit on future TACs.)

Scenario 2: In all future years, F is set equal to a constant fraction of $max F_{ABC}$, where this fraction is equal to the ratio of the F_{ABC} value for 2002 recommended in the assessment to the $max F_{ABC}$ for 2001. (Rationale: When F_{ABC} is set at a value below $max F_{ABC}$, it is often set at the value recommended in the stock assessment.)

Scenario 3: In all future years, F is set equal to 50% of $\max F_{ABC}$. (Rationale: This scenario provides a likely lower bound on F_{ABC} that still allows future harvest rates to be adjusted downward when stocks fall below reference levels.)

Scenario 4: In all future years, F is set equal to the 1997-2001 average F . (Rationale: For some stocks, TAC can be well below ABC, and recent average F may provide a better indicator of F_{TAC} than F_{ABC} .)

Scenario 5: In all future years, F is set equal to zero. (Rationale: In extreme cases, TAC may be set at a level close to zero.)

Two other scenarios are needed to satisfy the MSFCMA's requirement to determine whether a stock is currently in an overfished condition or is approaching an overfished condition. These two scenarios are as follow (for Tier 3 stocks, the MSY level is defined as $B_{35\%}$):

Scenario 6: In all future years, F is set equal to F_{OFL} . (Rationale: This scenario determines whether a stock is overfished. If the stock is expected to be above $\frac{1}{2}$ of its B_{MSY} level in 2002 and above its B_{MSY} level in 2014 under this scenario, then the stock is not overfished.)

Scenario 7: In 2002 and 2003, F is set equal to $\max F_{ABC}$, and in all subsequent years, F is set equal to F_{OFL} . (Rationale: This scenario determines whether a stock is approaching an overfished condition. If the stock is expected to be above its B_{MSY} level in 2014 under this scenario, then the stock is not approaching an overfished condition.)

Simulation results (Table 5.7 and Fig. 5.7) indicate that arrowtooth flounder are not currently overfished and the stock is not considered to be approaching an overfished condition.

OTHER CONSIDERATIONS

Arrowtooth flounder are currently of limited economic importance as a fisheries product, however, trophic studies (Lang et al. 1991, Livingston et al. 1993) indicate they are an important predator and may be an important component in understanding the dynamics of the Bering Sea benthic ecosystem. This is particularly relevant as the Council begins to consider shifting emphasis from single species to multi-species fisheries management of the Bering Sea and Aleutian Islands (Ecosystem Considerations, 1994 SAFE). Trophic studies indicate that the main food item in the diet of arrowtooth flounder is fish, particularly for arrowtooth larger than 30 cm. Pollock are a major component of the diet as well as other fish such as zoarcids. Invertebrates are also important and include cephalopods, euphausiids and pandalid and crangonid shrimp. Predators of arrowtooth flounder include Pacific cod and large pollock, mostly on juvenile fish.

- Bakkala, R.G. and T.K. Wilderbuer. 1990. Arrowtooth flounder. In L.L. Low and R. Narita (editors), Condition of groundfish resources in the Bering Sea-Aleutian Islands region as assessed in 1989, 10 p. U.S. Dep. Commer., NOAA Tech. Memo. NMFS F/NWC.
- Cullenberg, P. 1995. Commercialization of arrowtooth flounder. The Next Step. Proceedings of the International Symposium on North Pacific Flatfish (1994: Anchorage, Alaska). pp623-630.
- Greene, D. H. and J. K. Babbitt. 1990. Control of muscle softening and protease-parasite interactions in arrowtooth flounder, Atheresthes stomias. J. Food Sci. 55(2): 579-580.
- Lang, Geoffrey M., P. A. Livingston, R. Pacunski, J. Parkhurst and M. S. Yang. 1991. Groundfish food habits and predation of commercially important prey species in the eastern Bering Sea from 1984-86. 240 p. NOAA Tech. Memo. NMFS F/NWC-207.
- Livingston, Patricia A., A. Ward, G. M. Lang and M. S. Yang. 1993. Groundfish food habits and predation on commercially important prey species in the eastern Bering Sea from 1987 to 1989. 192 p. NOAA Tech. Memo. NMFS-AFSC-11.
- Methot, R. D. 1990. Synthesis model: An adaptive framework for analysis of diverse stock assessment data. INPFC Bull. 50:259-277. Symposium on application of stock assessment techniques to Gadoids.
- Okada K., H. Yamaguchi, T. Sasaki, and K. Wakabayashi. 1980. Trends of groundfish stocks in the Bering Sea and the northeastern Pacific based on additional preliminary statistical data in 1979. Unpubl. Manusc., 37 p. Far Seas Fish. Res. Lab., Japan Fish. Agency.
- Plan Team for the Groundfish Fisheries of the Bering Sea, Aleutians and Gulf of Alaska. 1994. Ecosystem Considerations. 88 p. North Pacific Fisheries Management Council, P. O. Box 103136 Anchorage, AK 99519.
- Porter, R. W., B. J. Kouri and G. Kudo, 1993. Inhibition of protease activity in muscle extracts and surimi from Pacific Whiting, Merluccius productus, and arrowtooth flounder, Atheresthes stomias. Mar. Fish. Rev. 55(3):10-15.
- Reppond, R. W., D. H. Wasson, and J. K. Babbitt. 1993. Properties of gels produced from blends of arrowtooth flounder and Alaska pollock surimi. J. Aquat. Food Prod. Technol., vol. 2(1):83-98.
- Turnock, B. J., T. K. Wilderbuer and E. S. Brown. 1998. Arrowtooth flounder. In Stock Assessment and Fishery Evaluation Report for the 1997 Gulf of Alaska Groundfish Fishery. 30 p. Gulf of Alaska Groundfish Plan Team, North Pacific Fishery Management Council, P. O. Box 103136, Anchorage, AK 99510.
- Wasson, D. H., K. D. Reppond, J. K. Babbitt and J. S. French. 1992. Effects of additives on proteolytic and functional properties of arrowtooth flounder surimi. J. Aquat. Food Prod. Technol., vol. 1(3/4):147-165.
- Wilderbuer, T. K., and T. M. Sample. 1995. Arrowtooth flounder. In Stock Assessment and Fishery Evaluation Document for Groundfish Resources in the Bering Sea/Aleutian Islands Region as Projected for 1991, p.129-141. North Pacific Fishery Management Council, P.O. Box 103136, Anchorage Alaska 99510.
- Zimmermann, Mark, and Pamela Goddard 1995. Biology and distribution of arrowtooth (Atheresthes stomias) and Kamachatka (A. evermanni) flounders in Alaskan waters. 47 p. Submitted Fishery Bulletin.
- Zimmermann, Mark. 1997. Maturity and fecundity of arrowtooth flounder, Atheresthes stomias, from the Gulf of Alaska. Fish Bull. 95:598-611.

Table 5.1.--All nation total catch (t) of arrowtooth flounder in the eastern Bering Sea and Aleutian Islands region^a, 1970-2001. Catches since 1990 are not reported by area.

Year	Eastern Bering Sea				Aleutian Island Region				Total
	Non-U.S. fisheries ^b	U.S. J.V. ^c	U.S. DAH	Total	Non-U.S. fisheries	U.S. J.V.	U.S. DAH	Total	
1970	12,598			12,598	274			274	12,872
1971	18,792			18,792	581			581	19,373
1972	13,123			13,123	1,323			1,323	14,446
1973	9,217			9,217	3,705			3,705	12,922
1974	21,473			21,473	3,195			3,195	24,668
1975	20,832			20,832	784			784	21,616
1976	17,806			17,806	1,370			1,370	19,176
1977	9,454			9,454	2,035			2,035	11,489
1978	8,358			8,358	1,782			1,782	10,140
1979	7,921			7,921	6,436			6,436	14,357
1980	13,674	87		13,761	4,603			4,603	18,364
1981	13,468	5		13,473	3,624	16		3,640	17,113
1982	9,065	38		9,103	2,356	59		2,415	11,518
1983	10,180	36		10,216	3,700	53		3,753	13,969
1984	7,780	200		7,980	1,404	68		1,472	9,452
1985	6,840	448		7,288	11	59	89	159	7,447
1986	3,462	3,298	5	6,766		78	337	415	7,181
1987	2,789	1,561	158	4,508		114	237	351	4,859
1988		2,552	15,395	17,947		22	2,021	2,043	19,990
1989		2,264	4,000	6,264			1,042	1,042	7,306
1990		660	7,315	7,975			5,083	5,083	13,058
1991									22,052
1992									10,382
1993									9,338
1994									14,366
1995									9,280
1996									14,652
1997									10,054
1998									15,241
1999									10,573
2000									12,929
2001*									11,230

^aCatches from data on file Alaska Fisheries Science Center, 7600 Sand Point Way N.E., Seattle, WA 98115.

^bJapan, U.S.S.R., Republic of Korea, Taiwan, Poland, and Federal Republic of Germany.

^cJoint ventures between U.S. fishing vessels and foreign processing vessels.

*Catch information through 15 September, 2001 (NMFS regional office).

Table 5.2 --Model estimates of arrowtooth flounder fishing mortality and exploitation rate (catch/total biomass).

year	Full selection F	Exploitation rate	total	
			biomass	catch
1970	0.088	0.070	183260.2	12872
1971	0.142	0.113	171941.5	19373
1972	0.119	0.092	156833.3	14446
1973	0.115	0.086	149438.1	12922
1974	0.235	0.170	145136.7	24668
1975	0.245	0.164	131909.8	21616
1976	0.255	0.155	123448	19176
1977	0.173	0.096	119651.6	11489
1978	0.153	0.079	128335.6	10140
1979	0.208	0.101	142723.2	14357
1980	0.266	0.117	156296	18364
1981	0.257	0.099	172200.5	17113
1982	0.167	0.058	200164.4	11518
1983	0.173	0.058	239908	13969
1984	0.098	0.034	279951.4	9452
1985	0.063	0.022	333045.6	7447
1986	0.048	0.018	393955.1	7181
1987	0.026	0.010	464409.8	4859
1988	0.087	0.036	549482.1	19990
1989	0.027	0.012	626801	7306
1990	0.041	0.018	715565.7	13058
1991	0.060	0.028	788043.1	22052
1992	0.024	0.012	837320.1	10382
1993	0.019	0.011	881268.3	9338
1994	0.025	0.016	907202.5	14366
1995	0.015	0.010	909050.3	9281
1996	0.022	0.016	900273	14652
1997	0.015	0.011	874358.1	10054
1998	0.022	0.018	844271.2	15241
1999	0.016	0.013	803872.5	10573
2000	0.015	0.017	764041	12929
2001	0.018	0.016	718572.1	11230
			671187.6	

Table 5.3--Model estimates of arrowtooth flounder age-specific fishery and survey selectivities, by sex.

Age	Fishery		shelf survey		slope survey	
	females	males	females	males	females	males
1	0.00	0.00	0.05	0.05	0.00	0.00
2	0.01	0.01	0.40	0.38	0.00	0.00
3	0.02	0.02	0.66	0.61	0.01	0.01
4	0.07	0.05	0.85	0.79	0.09	0.05
5	0.16	0.10	0.95	0.89	0.32	0.18
6	0.34	0.20	0.92	0.86	0.62	0.39
7	0.57	0.33	0.79	0.75	0.83	0.60
8	0.78	0.48	0.67	0.63	0.94	0.76
9	0.91	0.63	0.60	0.53	0.98	0.86
10	0.96	0.74	0.57	0.46	0.99	0.92
11	0.98	0.83	0.56	0.41	1.00	0.95
12	0.99	0.88	0.56	0.38	1.00	0.97
13	1.00	0.92	0.55	0.36	1.00	0.98
14	1.00	0.94	0.55	0.34	1.00	0.99
15	1.00	0.96	0.55	0.33	1.00	0.99
16	1.00	0.97	0.55	0.32	1.00	0.99
17	1.00	0.98	0.55	0.32	1.00	0.99
18	1.00	0.98	0.55	0.31	1.00	1.00
19	1.00	0.98	0.55	0.31	1.00	1.00
20	1.00	0.99	0.55	0.30	1.00	1.00
21	1.00	0.99	0.55	0.30	1.00	1.00

Table 5.4--Model estimates of arrowtooth flounder 2+ total biomass and female spawning biomass from the 2000 and 2001 assessments.

	2001 Assessment		2000 Assessment	
	age 2+ Total biomass	Female Spawning biomass	age 2+ Total biomass	Female Spawning biomass
1970	183,260	103,542	198,258	107,609
1971	171,942	96,547	186,469	100,702
1972	156,833	86,171	170,789	90,446
1973	149,438	79,725	162,566	84,065
1974	145,137	74,081	157,201	78,308
1975	131,910	61,153	142,729	64,922
1976	123,448	54,127	133,183	57,198
1977	119,652	52,505	128,986	54,835
1978	128,336	53,718	137,809	55,234
1979	142,723	54,614	152,534	55,656
1980	156,296	54,622	166,456	55,362
1981	172,201	55,190	183,470	55,729
1982	200,164	64,297	212,548	64,282
1983	239,908	78,938	253,227	78,598
1984	279,951	88,926	294,338	88,228
1985	333,046	109,249	348,759	107,837
1986	393,955	145,379	410,980	142,343
1987	464,410	179,579	483,680	175,521
1988	549,482	206,601	570,688	202,089
1989	626,801	238,940	648,561	231,931
1990	715,566	285,960	736,939	277,619
1991	788,043	332,417	807,324	322,242
1992	837,320	387,937	853,161	375,425
1993	881,268	444,838	893,041	430,443
1994	907,202	483,870	914,271	469,184
1995	909,050	504,089	911,202	488,061
1996	900,273	518,070	898,268	501,268
1997	874,358	520,490	869,179	504,133
1998	844,271	515,001	837,326	497,938
1999	803,872	496,004	794,067	478,037
2000	764,041	480,966	749,545	457,561
2001	718,572	447,525	700,851	437,399
2002	671,188	423,378		

Table 5.5--Model estimates of arrowtooth flounder population number-at-age, by sex, 1970-2002.

females	numbers at age (1,000s)																				
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21
1970	20,318	27,398	22,430	18,361	15,025	12,287	10,037	8,187	6,666	5,419	4,399	3,568	2,892	2,343	1,898	1,537	1,245	1,008	816	660	2,808
1971	22,558	16,635	22,421	18,334	14,966	12,177	9,867	7,959	6,386	5,133	4,125	3,322	2,679	2,164	1,749	1,415	1,144	926	749	607	2,578
1972	42,261	18,469	13,608	18,306	14,900	12,049	9,656	7,662	6,028	4,730	3,725	2,953	2,356	1,890	1,520	1,226	990	800	647	523	2,222
1973	48,278	59,162	15,111	11,116	14,896	12,031	9,607	7,567	5,882	4,538	3,505	2,729	2,148	1,705	1,363	1,095	881	711	574	464	1,969
1974	14,752	39,527	48,407	12,345	9,047	12,033	9,601	7,539	5,821	4,440	3,373	2,577	1,993	1,561	1,235	986	790	636	512	414	1,752
1975	47,488	12,078	32,316	39,443	9,978	7,193	9,320	7,170	5,393	3,996	2,948	2,188	1,646	1,259	980	772	614	472	395	318	1,343
1976	42,303	38,879	9,874	26,326	31,861	7,923	5,557	6,929	5,095	3,670	2,626	1,890	1,380	1,027	780	604	478	377	301	242	1,016
1977	70,479	34,634	31,784	8,042	21,255	25,274	6,108	4,118	4,900	3,445	2,394	1,670	1,182	853	630	477	368	289	229	183	761
1978	141,041	57,703	28,329	25,936	6,526	17,056	19,915	4,693	3,071	3,552	2,441	1,669	1,151	809	581	428	323	249	195	155	638
1979	49,799	115,474	47,205	23,129	21,076	5,253	13,519	15,451	3,551	2,288	2,573	1,744	1,181	810	567	407	299	225	174	136	552
1980	74,817	40,772	94,437	38,501	18,743	16,859	4,115	10,285	11,358	2,525	1,571	1,749	1,171	787	537	374	268	197	148	114	451
1981	180,977	61,254	33,333	76,937	31,106	14,890	13,033	3,063	7,323	7,749	1,665	1,011	1,107	733	489	332	231	165	121	91	347
1982	241,648	148,171	50,083	27,165	62,216	24,763	11,557	9,766	2,202	5,059	5,185	1,089	651	706	465	309	209	145	104	76	274
1983	53,885	197,844	121,213	40,890	22,074	50,076	19,625	8,965	7,388	1,626	3,664	3,704	771	458	495	325	216	146	101	72	244
1984	136,181	44,117	161,846	98,958	33,222	17,762	39,665	15,211	6,773	5,445	1,175	2,611	2,614	541	320	345	226	150	101	70	219
1985	346,161	111,495	36,103	132,300	80,679	26,941	14,280	31,509	11,914	5,233	4,162	891	1,970	1,966	406	240	258	169	112	76	216
1986	123,107	283,412	91,258	29,529	108,030	65,657	21,806	11,471	25,086	9,404	4,103	3,247	693	1,529	1,524	314	186	200	131	87	226
1987	367,237	100,791	231,987	74,659	24,127	88,038	53,282	17,593	9,192	19,970	7,447	3,237	2,555	544	1,200	1,195	246	146	157	102	245
1988	343,491	300,668	82,511	189,857	61,058	19,705	71,738	43,282	14,239	7,413	16,059	5,977	2,594	2,046	436	960	956	197	116	125	277
1989	215,787	281,226	246,066	67,460	154,862	49,569	15,874	57,184	34,072	11,075	5,710	12,287	4,552	1,970	1,551	330	726	722	149	88	304
1990	154,808	176,671	230,219	201,375	55,168	126,456	40,380	12,889	46,250	27,454	8,897	4,578	9,836	3,640	1,574	1,239	263	580	577	119	313
1991	133,642	126,746	144,618	188,364	164,580	44,987	102,746	32,645	10,359	36,958	21,839	7,054	3,622	7,771	2,874	1,242	977	208	457	455	340
1992	174,910	109,417	103,742	118,290	153,823	133,964	36,425	82,587	26,015	8,187	29,017	17,065	5,495	2,816	6,033	2,229	963	757	161	354	616
1993	114,080	143,204	89,573	84,904	96,747	125,642	109,188	29,601	66,880	20,996	6,590	23,312	13,693	4,406	2,256	4,832	1,785	771	606	129	776
1994	80,378	93,401	117,235	73,314	69,457	79,065	102,509	88,880	24,030	54,153	16,966	5,317	18,790	11,030	3,547	1,816	3,889	1,436	620	488	728
1995	61,328	65,808	76,461	95,945	59,958	56,725	64,427	83,271	71,934	19,380	43,551	13,617	4,262	15,048	8,828	2,838	1,453	3,110	1,149	496	972
1996	112,015	50,211	53,875	62,586	78,502	49,018	46,313	52,504	67,713	58,372	15,700	35,239	11,009	3,444	12,156	7,130	2,292	1,173	2,511	927	1,185
1997	78,371	91,710	41,105	44,093	51,191	64,130	39,964	37,654	42,549	54,702	47,038	28,312	10,210	8,838	2,763	9,751	5,718	1,838	940	2,013	1,693
1998	78,572	64,165	75,081	33,646	36,077	41,850	52,357	32,567	30,617	34,524	44,311	38,058	10,210	22,877	7,139	2,232	7,873	4,616	1,484	759	2,992
1999	85,507	64,329	52,528	61,448	27,520	29,471	34,117	42,563	26,387	24,728	27,812	35,632	30,566	8,194	18,350	5,724	1,789	6,311	3,700	1,189	3,006
2000	46,188	70,007	52,664	42,995	50,274	22,495	24,055	27,792	34,591	21,396	20,015	22,482	28,778	24,673	6,611	14,803	4,617	1,443	5,089	2,984	3,383
2001	26,568	37,816	57,311	43,103	35,170	41,078	18,347	19,569	22,542	27,975	17,264	16,123	18,091	23,141	19,631	5,312	11,892	3,709	1,159	4,087	5,112
2002	26,531	21,752	30,958	46,908	35,261	28,741	33,513	14,933	15,885	18,249	22,601	13,926	12,993	14,569	18,628	15,959	4,274	9,567	2,983	932	7,400

Table 5.5--Continued.

males	numbers at age (1,000s)																				
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21
1970	20,318	27,398	22,430	18,361	15,025	12,287	10,037	8,187	6,666	5,419	4,399	3,568	2,892	2,343	1,898	1,537	1,245	1,008	816	660	2,808
1971	22,558	16,635	22,421	18,334	14,966	12,177	9,867	7,959	6,386	5,133	4,125	3,322	2,679	2,164	1,749	1,415	1,144	926	749	607	2,578
1972	42,261	18,469	13,608	18,306	14,900	12,049	9,656	6,028	6,208	4,730	3,725	2,953	2,356	1,890	1,520	1,226	990	800	647	523	2,222
1973	48,278	59,162	15,111	11,116	14,896	12,031	9,607	7,567	5,882	4,538	3,505	2,729	2,148	1,705	1,363	1,095	881	711	574	464	1,969
1974	14,752	39,527	48,407	12,345	9,047	12,033	9,601	7,539	5,821	4,440	3,373	2,577	1,993	1,561	1,235	986	790	636	512	414	1,752
1975	47,488	12,078	32,316	39,443	9,978	7,193	9,320	7,170	5,393	3,996	2,948	2,188	1,646	1,259	980	772	614	472	395	318	1,343
1976	42,303	38,879	9,874	26,326	31,861	7,923	5,557	6,929	5,095	3,670	2,626	1,890	1,380	1,027	780	604	478	377	301	242	1,016
1977	70,479	34,634	31,784	8,042	21,255	25,274	6,108	4,118	4,900	3,445	2,394	1,670	1,182	853	630	477	368	289	229	183	761
1978	141,041	57,703	28,329	25,936	6,526	17,056	19,915	4,693	3,071	3,552	2,441	1,669	1,151	809	581	428	323	249	195	155	638
1979	49,799	115,474	47,205	23,129	21,076	5,253	13,519	15,451	3,551	2,288	2,573	1,744	1,181	810	567	407	299	225	174	136	552
1980	74,817	40,772	94,437	38,501	18,743	16,859	4,115	10,285	11,358	2,525	1,571	1,749	1,171	787	537	374	268	197	148	114	451
1981	180,977	61,254	33,333	76,937	31,106	14,890	13,033	3,063	7,323	7,749	1,665	1,011	1,107	733	489	332	231	165	121	91	347
1982	241,648	148,171	50,083	27,165	62,216	24,763	11,557	9,766	2,202	5,059	5,185	1,089	651	706	465	309	209	145	104	76	274
1983	53,885	197,844	121,213	40,890	22,074	50,076	19,625	8,965	7,388	1,626	3,664	3,704	771	458	495	325	216	146	101	72	244
1984	136,181	44,117	161,846	96,958	33,222	17,762	39,665	15,211	6,773	5,445	1,175	2,611	2,614	541	320	345	226	150	101	70	219
1985	346,161	111,495	36,103	132,300	80,679	26,941	14,280	11,509	4,162	891	1,970	1,966	406	406	240	258	200	159	112	76	216
1986	123,107	283,412	91,258	29,529	108,030	65,657	21,806	11,471	25,086	9,404	4,103	3,247	693	1,529	1,524	314	186	200	131	87	226
1987	367,237	100,791	231,987	74,659	24,127	88,038	53,282	17,593	9,192	19,970	7,447	3,237	2,555	544	1,200	1,195	246	146	157	102	245
1988	343,491	300,668	82,511	189,857	61,058	19,705	71,738	43,282	14,239	7,413	16,059	5,977	2,594	2,046	436	960	956	197	116	125	277
1989	215,787	281,226	246,066	67,460	154,862	49,569	15,874	57,184	34,072	11,075	5,710	12,287	4,552	1,970	1,551	330	726	722	149	88	304
1990	154,808	176,671	230,219	201,375	55,168	126,456	40,380	12,889	46,250	27,454	8,897	4,578	9,836	3,640	1,574	1,239	263	580	577	119	313
1991	133,642	126,746	144,618	188,364	164,580	44,987	102,746	32,645	10,359	36,958	21,839	7,054	3,622	7,771	2,874	1,242	977	208	457	455	340
1992	174,910	109,417	103,742	118,290	153,823	133,964	36,425	82,587	26,015	8,187	29,017	17,065	5,495	2,816	6,033	2,229	963	757	161	354	616
1993	114,080	143,204	89,573	84,904	96,747	125,642	109,188	29,601	66,880	20,996	6,590	23,312	13,693	4,406	2,256	4,832	1,785	771	606	129	776
1994	80,378	93,401	117,235	73,314	69,457	79,065	102,509	88,880	24,030	54,153	16,966	5,317	18,790	11,030	3,547	1,816	3,889	1,436	620	488	728
1995	61,328	65,808	76,461	95,945	59,958	56,725	64,427	83,271	71,934	19,380	43,551	13,617	4,262	15,048	8,828	2,838	1,453	3,110	1,149	496	972
1996	112,015	50,211	53,875	62,586	78,502	49,018	46,313	52,504	67,713	58,372	15,700	35,239	11,009	3,444	12,156	7,130	2,292	1,173	2,511	927	1,185
1997	78,371	91,710	41,105	44,093	51,191	64,130	39,964	37,654	42,549	54,702	47,038	12,629	28,312	8,638	2,763	9,751	5,718	1,838	940	2,013	1,693
1998	78,572	64,165	75,081	33,646	36,077	41,850	52,357	32,567	30,617	34,524	44,311	38,058	10,210	22,877	7,139	2,232	7,873	4,616	1,484	759	2,992
1999	85,507	64,329	52,528	61,448	27,520	29,471	34,117	42,563	26,387	24,728	27,812	35,632	30,566	8,194	18,350	5,724	1,789	6,311	3,700	1,189	3,006
2000	46,188	70,007	52,664	42,995	50,274	22,495	24,055	27,792	34,591	21,396	20,015	22,482	28,778	24,673	6,611	14,803	4,617	1,443	5,089	2,984	3,383
2001	26,568	37,816	57,311	43,103	35,170	41,078	18,347	19,569	22,542	27,975	17,264	16,123	18,091	23,141	19,631	5,312	11,892	3,709	1,159	4,087	5,112
2002	26,531	21,752	30,958	46,908	35,261	28,741	33,513	14,933	15,885	18,249	22,601	13,926	12,993	14,569	18,628	15,959	4,274	9,567	2,983	932	7,400

Table 5.6--Estimated age 2 recruitment of arrowtooth flounder (thousands of fish) from the 2000 and 2001 assessments.

Year class	2001 Assessment	2000 Assessment
1968	54,796	59,116
1969	33,270	31,594
1970	36,938	40,678
1971	118,324	123,998
1972	79,054	66,736
1973	24,156	28,034
1974	77,758	85,400
1975	69,268	67,392
1976	115,406	137,180
1977	230,948	225,058
1978	81,544	84,026
1979	122,508	129,266
1980	296,342	333,726
1981	395,687	369,056
1982	88,234	95,816
1983	222,990	250,342
1984	566,824	568,466
1985	201,582	204,374
1986	601,336	656,546
1987	562,452	515,592
1988	353,342	358,436
1989	253,492	235,602
1990	218,834	207,092
1991	286,408	281,628
1992	186,802	169,084
1993	131,616	118,004
1994	100,422	101,176
1995	183,420	187,838
1996	128,330	136,586
1997	128,658	134,602
1998	140,014	

Figures




Figure 5.1--Annual Bering Sea trawl survey CPUE (kg/ha) for arrowtooth flounder and Kamchatka flounder combined, 1979-2001.




Figure 5.3--Proportion of the estimated male population from Bering Sea trawl surveys on the continental shelf and slope.






Figure 5.4--Age-specific shelf and slope survey selectivity (top panel) and fishery selectivity (bottom panel) by sex, estimated from the stock synthesis model.



fit to shelf surveys



fit to slope survey




Figure 5.5--Stock synthesis model estimates of begin year biomass and spawning biomass (top panel), model fit to shelf survey biomass (middle panel) and model fit to the slope survey biomass (bottom panel).





Figure 5-6--Estimates of arrowtooth flounder age 2 recruitment from the synthesis model (top panel) and from the shelf trawl survey (bottom panel).